

2-20-2009

## In Search of Our Brains: On Teaching "Proust Was a Neuroscientist" by Jonah Lehrer

Marianne Rogoff

*Department of Literature and Languages, Dominican University of California, marianne.rogoff@dominican.edu*

Follow this and additional works at: <http://scholar.dominican.edu/all-faculty>

 Part of the [Creative Writing Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

Rogoff, Marianne, "In Search of Our Brains: On Teaching "Proust Was a Neuroscientist" by Jonah Lehrer" (2009). *Collected Faculty and Staff Scholarship*. 119.

<http://scholar.dominican.edu/all-faculty/119>

**IN SEARCH OF OUR BRAINS:  
ON TEACHING *PROUST WAS A NEUROSCIENTIST* BY JONAH LEHRER**

I have always wanted to teach a semester of freshman English using a single text, moving students via rich allusions out beyond it for further reading according to their individual interests. *Proust Was a Neuroscientist* by Jonah Lehrer is a collection of essays linking contemporary findings in neuroscience with visionary knowledge dreamed up by writers and artists a hundred years ago. Concepts like Walt Whitman's poetic "body electric" and Virginia Woolf's psychological "stream of consciousness" are proven to have physical origins in our brains and bodies. I created a whole course around the book in Fall '08 at California College of the Arts (CCA), and it worked great! Students found the essays difficult in just the way you would hope: they grumbled but in final evaluations had to admit they had learned a lot. As one guy put it, "I'm kind of a big fan of this class... I'd be tempted to kill myself if I were handed yet another book of fiction and told to write about it."

*Proust Was a Neuroscientist* is brilliant in the way it makes connections across the formerly rigid boundary between art and science. CCA is a school of art, architecture, design, and writing, so Lehrer's essay on Cezanne called "The Process of Sight" was directly pertinent. Another student felt that reading the book, "... introduced interesting concepts of science that were unexpected yet relevant to the art context." Lehrer is amazingly able to instruct the reader in the anatomy of the brain while offering insights into how we are able to read and interpret literature, art, photography, and music.

"The Source of Music" talks about T. S. Eliot and Stravinsky's "Rite of Spring" and led to discussions of what Lehrer calls "the birth of dissonance." We read excerpts from Eliot's *The*

*Waste Land*, watched the section of Disney's *Fantasia* that uses "Rite of Spring" as the soundtrack, and produced audio essays with "apocalyptic" contemporary music. This all took place in the midst of our national election before the results were in, when there was a feeling we might be headed for the end of the world; listening to musical renditions of our collective fear felt cathartic; writing narrations that place the music in context of Lehrer's dissonance theories provided liberating historical perspective. "Nothing is sacred. Nature is noise. Music is nothing but a sliver of sound that we have learned how to hear."

But who's in charge? Lehrer asks, "Is life just a fancy machine? Are we nothing but chemicals and instincts adrift in an indifferent universe?" Free will versus fate is a favorite debate for the college classroom and readily feeds late-night dorm-room philosophy. Lehrer analyzes natural selection versus intelligent design and dissects the biology of freedom via George Eliot and *Middlemarch* and at the same time introduces names like Kant and Darwin. Now students will at least have heard of these key thinkers when they come upon them again in more focused upper-division seminar courses. Again, the book is genius in the way it references so many kinds of thinkers, from the realms of philosophy, art, literature, psychology, and sociology, as well as scientists such as Fernando Nottebohm who blessedly posits that the mind can remake itself anew even as we age. (This notion has been popularized recently in the PBS series hosted by Peter Coyote on the brain and "plasticity.")

Art students tend to be active, sensual, tactile people, so they appreciated lively assignments like the audio essay and a visual essay that included thorough-yet-concise captions on "the cliché of representation" and "art's pseudo-scientific fidelity to reality," inspired by Lehrer's Cezanne piece. We contemplated the limits of light, read Baudelaire's 1859 critique "On Photography," and bent our minds around Gestalt and optical illusions. Thus, the engaged

student reports, “What I have learned in your course has bled into my other courses (and personal life).”

The title piece on Proust lent itself nicely to creative writing and personal essays, with the trick being to access memories of what has been forgotten. Proust’s famous madeleine caused an *involuntary* memory, triggered by the sense of taste and smell, “the most nostalgic sense.” Yes, we ate madeleines in class, and read excerpts from Proust’s *In Search of Lost Time* (aka *Remembrance of Things Past*, and Lehrer explains the reasons for the several translations of the title). Apparently, we do not only reach wisdom via the intellect. Proust claims, “It is a labour in vain to attempt to recapture it: all the efforts of our intellect must prove futile. The past is hidden somewhere outside the realm, beyond the reach of intellect, in some material object (in the sensation which that material object will give us) which we do not suspect.” Given that CCA students are in the business of creating material objects (aka Art) designed to elicit sensations beyond intellect, this is an important idea. Students are taught all their young lives to be reasoned and logical people; they arrive at art school and we train them to be emotional and imaginative creators, sometimes best achieved by way of unreasonable, illogical, chance methods.

Are we crazy? “Science is not the only path to knowledge,” according to the back cover. “When it comes to understanding the brain, art got there first.” Neuroscientist Lehrer asks us to read Virginia Woolf and Gertrude Stein. Aren’t they crazy? The two provide glimpses of madness, certainly. The astonishing revelation that Stein came to, however, according to Lehrer, was that, no matter how hard she tried to strip language of meaning, the brain’s “desperate neuronal search for patterns” trumped her. We studied Stein’s *Tender Buttons*, initially incomprehensible like so much of Stein’s writing. First, let it wash over you and see how that feels. Then apply your brain and analyze meanings of individual words plus words in relation

with one another; in the midst of nonsense, meaning arises. Students were assigned to write “nonsense essays,” something they laughed at, thinking it would be easy, only to learn how impossible it is to overthrow our basic human desire – and the brain’s inherent directive – to make meaning.

Focusing on Virginia Woolf, Lehrer proclaims, “Woolf’s art searched for whatever held us together. What she found was the self, the ‘essential thing.’ “ At the time, “scientists were busy embracing the power of materialism,” while Woolf “wanted to expose our ineffability, to show us that we are ‘like a butterfly’s wing... clamped together with bolts of iron’.” Well, who hasn’t felt that way, as finals loom, and family calls, and we face our future not knowing what it will hold? Neuroscience confirms what Stein said about Oakland: “There is no there there.” Lehrer puts it like this: “The head holds a raucous parliament of cells that endlessly debate what sensations and feelings should become conscious. These neurons are distributed all across the brain, and their firing unfolds over time. This means that the mind is not a place, it is a process.”

Lehrer reports that “Woolf realized that the self emerges via the *act of attention*. We bind together our sensory parts by experiencing them from a particular point of view.” Woolf says, “With what magnificent vitality the atoms of my attention disperse, and create a richer, a stronger, a more complicated world in which I am called upon to act my part.”

We are all called upon to act our parts. I am your English professor. With the help of Jonah Lehrer’s clearly written and comprehensive book, I did what I am compelled to do: teach these kids how to read, write, and think for themselves, whoever they may be. “For an English class, this course covered a very wide range of topics. In each essay, we had room to take things in several directions according to our interests and opinions.”

For *The Rumpus*: January 2009