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Changing treatment practices may be selecting for changes in the drug sensitivity of malaria parasites. We characterized ex vivo drug sensitivity and parasite polymorphisms associated with sensitivity in 459 Plasmodium falciparum samples obtained from subjects enrolled in two clinical trials in Tororo, Uganda, from 2010 to 2013. Sensitivities to chloroquine and monodesethylamidoquine varied widely; sensitivities to quinine, dihydroartemisinin, lumefantrine, and piperaquine were generally good. Associations between ex vivo drug sensitivity and parasite polymorphisms included decreased chloroquine and monodesethylamidoquine sensitivity and increased lumefantrine and piperaquine sensitivity with pfcrt 76T, as well as increased lumefantrine sensitivity with pfdmlr 86Y, 184Y, and 1246Y. Over time, ex vivo sensitivity decreased for lumefantrine and piperaquine and increased for chloroquine, the prevalences of pfcrt K76 and pfdmlr N86 and D1246 increased, and the prevalences of pfldhfr and pfldhps polymorphisms associated with antifolate resistance were unchanged. In recurrent infections, recent prior treatment with artemether-lumefantrine was associated with decreased ex vivo lumefantrine sensitivity and increased prevalence of pfcrt K76 and pfdmlr N86, 184F, and D1246. In children assigned chemoprevention with monthly dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine with documented circulating piperaquine, breakthrough infections had increased the prevalence of pfdmlr 86Y and 1246Y compared to untreated controls. The noted impacts of therapy and chemoprevention on parasite polymorphisms remained significant in multivariate analysis correcting for calendar time. Overall, changes in parasite sensitivity were consistent with altered selective pressures due to changing treatment practices in Uganda. These changes may threaten the antimalarial treatment and preventive efficacies of artemether-lumefantrine and dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine, respectively.

Malaria, in particular disease caused by Plasmodium falciparum, remains an overwhelming problem in most of sub-Saharan Africa (1, 2). Malaria control was greatly limited by resistance to chloroquine (CQ) and sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine (SP), leading to adoption of artemisinin-based combination therapy (ACT) as the standard treatment for uncomplicated falciparum malaria in the last decade (3). ACT consists of a rapid-acting artemisinin derivative plus a longer-acting partner drug that clears parasites not eliminated by the artemisinin component and limits selection of artemisinin resistance (4, 5). In nearly all countries in sub-Saharan Africa, either artemether-lumefantrine (AL) or artesunate-amodiaquine (AS-AQ) is recommended to treat uncomplicated malaria (6). Other ACTs are dihydroartemisinin (DHA)–piperaquine (DP), a first-line therapy in some countries in Asia, with particular promise for malaria prevention due to the extended half-life of piperaquine (7), and artesunate-mefloquine (AS-MQ), which is used in some countries in Asia and South America. In Uganda, AL was named the national malaria treatment regimen in 2004; its implementation began in 2006 but was fairly slow. The proportion of children <5 years of age with a fever treated with an ACT (AL is the only widely available ACT) within 24 h rose from an estimated 1% in 2006 to 14% in 2009 to 30% in 2011 (8). Although treatment of all fevers as malaria is no longer national policy, these statistics indicate increasing access to AL for treating malaria. Thus, both the appropriate treatment of malaria with AL and increased selective pressure for resistance to AL appear to have increased markedly in Uganda in recent years.

Intermittent preventive therapy (IPT) with SP to decrease malaria incidence and the risk of placental malaria is recommended for pregnant women in Uganda at least once per trimester (9). IPT is not recommended in children because of the limited efficacy of SP due to drug resistance, although in the Sahel subregion of West Africa, where malaria is highly seasonal and the prevalence of SP resistance is lower than that in other areas, seasonal malaria chemoprevention with the combination of SP and amodiaquine is now recommended (10). DP was recently studied for use as chemoprevention in Uganda. Children were randomized to monthly DP administered from 6 to 24 months of age. Compared to untreated controls, the DP chemoprevention arm experienced a 58%
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decrease in the incidence of malaria (11). Treatment was not directly observed, and piperazine serum levels were consistent with poor treatment compliance in many children (11). Thus, the true preventive efficacy of monthly DP was likely higher. This conclusion is supported by a second trial in which schoolchildren were randomized to directly observed monthly DP or no therapy for 1 year, and the preventive efficacy of DP was a remarkable 96% (12).

Of obvious concern with increasing utilization of newer malaria therapies for treatment or chemoprophervention is potential selection of drug-resistant parasites. Resistance to the aminoquinoxlines chloroquine and amodiaquine is mediated principally by well-defined polymorphisms in two putative drug transporters encoded by pfcr and pfmdr1 (3, 13), and these polymorphisms are selected in new infections that emerge soon after therapy with AS-AQ (14, 15). Piperaquine is a related bisaminquinoline, but although resistance was widely reported in the preartemisinin era from China (16), the mechanisms of resistance are uncertain. Use of DP for treatment (17) or chemoprevention (18) did not select for the polymorphisms associated with chloroquine resistance in Burkina Faso, but, in Uganda, recent treatment with DP selected for pfmdr1 mutations associated with decreased sensitivity to aminoquinolines (19). Interestingly, a number of other antimalarials exert the opposite selective pressure. In particular, new infections emerging within 2 months of treatment with AL show selection of wild-type sequences at the pfcr and pfmdr1 N86Y and D1246Y alleles (19–22); mutant sequences are selected at these same alleles by aminoquinolines. Of great recent concern has been resistance to artemisinins, manifest as delayed parasite clearance after therapy, in Southeast Asia (23, 24). This phenomenon was recently linked to a laboratory phenotype, with enhanced survival of ring-stage parasites after pulse exposure to artemisinins (25) and with polymorphisms in the newly identified K13 gene (26). However, delayed parasite clearance (27), K13 polymorphisms associated with resistance (28), and enhanced ring survival (R. Cooper, unpublished data) have not been seen in Uganda, and, consistent with these results, a recent survey suggested that artesiminisin resistance is to date confined to Southeast Asia (29).

With recent changes in malaria treatment practices in Uganda, it is of interest to assess whether parasite resistance mediators have been selected. In two studies from Tororo, the prevalence of the wild-type pfcr and pfmdr1 alleles noted above increased significantly, both in parasites not under selective pressure (30) and in those from children treated for each episode of malaria with AL (19). However, limited data have been available on the actual drug sensitivity of parasites in Uganda, with only one prior report from Kampala showing good efficacy of lumefantrine, piperaquine, and dihydroartemisinin (31). The goal of this study was to consider changes in drug sensitivity over time and the selective pressures of ACTs for treatment and chemoprophervation, utilizing both pathological and molecular assessments.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Clinical trials. All studied parasites were from subjects enrolled in two clinical trials conducted in Tororo, Uganda, a region with very high malaria transmission intensity, with an entomological inoculation rate recently estimated at 125 infectious bites per year (32). In the PROMOTE-1 trial (registered at ClinicalTrials.gov under registration no. NCT00978068), 170 HIV-infected children were randomized to antiretroviral therapy with a protease inhibitor-based or nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor-based regimen and followed for the incidence of malaria over 6 to 24 months (33); all of these children were provided with daily trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (TS). In the PROMOTE-3 trial (ClinicalTrials.gov no. NCT00948896), 393 HIV-uninfected children were randomized to no intervention or malaria chemoprophervation at 6 to 24 months of age with monthly SP, daily TS, or monthly DP (11). In both trials, subjects received free medical care, including transport to our study clinic, throughout the course of the trials. Use of antimalarial drugs outside the study protocols was uncommon. When patients presented with symptoms suggestive of malaria, either upon routine monthly assessment or at unscheduled visits, Giemsa-stained thick blood smears were performed. Malaria was defined as any parasitemia in the setting of fever or history of fever in the last 24 h. In both trials, uncomplicated malaria was treated with AL and complicated malaria with quinine. The clinical trials and analyses of cultured parasites were approved by the Uganda National Council of Science and Technology, the Makerere University Research and Ethics Committee, and the University of California, San Francisco Committee on Human Research.

Parasite culture. At the time of diagnosis of a new episode of malaria and before the initiation of therapy, blood was collected in heparinized tubes and transported promptly to our laboratory, located adjacent to the study clinic. Giemsa-stained thin blood smears were examined, and if P. falciparum infection with >1% parasitemia and a lack of other plasmodial species was confirmed, culture was initiated as previously described (31). Briefly, blood was centrifuged, plasma and Buffy coat were removed, and the erythrocyte pellet was washed three times with RPMI 1640 medium. A 200-μl aliquot of the washed pellet was added to 10 ml of RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 25 mM HEPES, 0.2% NaHCO3, 0.1 mM g-mercaptoethanol, 100 μg/ml gentamicin, and 0.5% AlbuMAX II serum substitute to produce a packed cell volume of 2%. Higher parasite densities were diluted with 2% uninfected erythrocytes to obtain a density of 0.05% to limit inoculum effects on drug susceptibility assay results.

Measurement of ex vivo drug sensitivity. Sensitivities were measured against fresh isolates and control strains (acquired from the Malaria Research and Reference Reagent Resource Center) for chloroquine (Sigma-Aldrich), monodesethylamodiaquine (MDAQ) (BD Gentest), quinine (Sigma-Aldrich), DHA (Dafra Pharma), lumefantrine (Porton International), and piperaquine (Porton International), as described previously (31). Multiple wells of 96-well culture plates were pre-dosed with six duplicate 2-fold serial dilutions of each drug of interest (31.3 to 2,004 nM chloroquine, 12.5 to 801 nM DHA, 3.1 to 200 nM piperaquine, 0.13 to 12.5 nM DHA, 0.2 to 12.5 nM lumefantrine, and 3.1 to 200 nM quinine). Plates were dried in an incubator and stored at 4°C in sealed plastic bags. Wells without drug served as controls. Aliquots (200 μl) of cultured parasites were added to each well and maintained at 5% CO2, 3% O2, and 37°C for 72 h. After the incubation, a blood smear was prepared to confirm healthy growth of controls and determine the level of parasitemia. Samples were then frozen (−20°C) and thawed three times to allow for complete hemolysis before analysis. Drug sensitivity was assessed using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) to quantify parasite histidine-rich protein-2 in treated and control cultures (34). Samples were diluted 1:10 in water, and 100 μl of each was added to an ELISA plate precoated with mouse anti-horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-2 IgM capture antibody (Immunology Consultant Laboratory) and incubated at room temperature for 1 h. Each well was then washed three times with 0.05% Tween 20 in phosphate-buffered saline, incubated with 100 μl of secondary antibody (horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse IgG) for 1 h at room temperature, washed again three times, and incubated with 100 μl of 3,3′,5,5′-tetramethylbenzidine chromogen for 10 min before adding 50 μl of 1 M sulfuric acid to stop the reaction. Absorbance at 450 nm was then read with a Multiskan ELISA plate reader. ELISA results for experimental and control cultures were used to construct dose-response curves for each drug, and the 50% inhibitory concentrations (IC50) were calculated using HN-NonLin software (34), with data fitted by nonlinear regression to a variable-slope sigmoidal dose-
response formula, and with attention to test validity based on adequate readings above background (35). In addition, visual examination of each curve was performed on two separate occasions by two investigators (P.T. and P.J.R.), with elimination of data for which curve fits were not straightforward.

Analysis of parasite polymorphisms associated with drug resistance. At the time of each new malaria diagnosis, blood was also spotted onto filter paper (Whatman 3MM) for molecular studies. DNA was extracted with Chelex (36). We evaluated polymorphisms in the pfcrf, pfdhfr, pfdhps, and pfmdr1 genes. Initial assessments were performed by amplification of flanking sequences by PCR, digestion with sequence-specific restriction endonucleases, and evaluation of digested fragments by agarose gel electrophoresis, as previously described (31, 37, 38). The formal assessments described here were performed with a newer technique, utilizing a ligase detection reaction-fluorescent microsphere assay. Assays were performed as previously described (39), with the modifications of nested-PCR for all reactions, and with a new primer to detect pfdhfr 164 polymorphisms (see Tables S1 and S2 in the supplemental material).

Measurement of piperaquine drug levels. Piperaquine levels were measured at the time of malaria diagnosis in subjects assigned to monthly DP, as previously reported (11). Subjects were separated into three categories: DPlow (plasma piperaquine, ≤10 ng/ml [limit of detection]), DPhigh (plasma piperaquine, >10 ng/ml and ≤20 ng/ml), and DPmed (plasma piperaquine, >20 ng/ml) to approximate compliance with chemoprevention.

Statistical analysis. The analyses used Stata version 12 (StataCorp). The outcome variables of interest were IC50s for six antimalarial drugs and genotype (wild-type versus mixed or mutant at each allele of interest). The exposure variables of interest were calendar time (date of treatment), time since prior malaria treatment, or chemoprevention arm. Calendar time was evaluated as a categorical variable, with each year as an independent category. Time since last treatment was evaluated as a categorical variable, with each year as an independent category, since prior malaria treatment, or chemoprevention arm. Calendar time was evaluated as a categorical variable, with each year as an independent category.

RESULTS

Clinical trials providing samples for study. Samples were obtained from two clinical trials conducted in Tororo, Uganda, and were collected from 2010 to 2013 (Table 1). PROMOTE-1 compared impacts on malaria of two different antiretroviral regimens in 170 children age 2 months to 5 years; treatment with a regimen that included the protease inhibitor lopinavir/ritonavir was associated with a 41% decreased incidence of malaria compared to that in children treated with a nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor-based regimen (33). PROMOTE-3 compared the incidence of malaria in 393 children assigned to one of 3 chemoprevention regimens or no chemoprevention from age 6 to 24 months; the incidence of malaria was decreased by 58% with monthly DP, 28% with daily TS, and an insignificant 7% with monthly SP (11). In both trials, episodes of uncomplicated malaria were treated with AL.

Ex vivo drug sensitivity of P. falciparum isolates. Samples collected at the time of malaria diagnosis were placed into culture, and ex vivo sensitivities to 6 antimalarial drugs were determined (Table 2; see also Table S3 in the supplemental material). Sensitivities of control laboratory strains were as expected based on earlier studies (see Table S4 in the supplemental material) and did not show any consistent changes over time (see Fig. S1 in the

### Table 1 Trials providing parasite samples for analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trial</th>
<th>Dates</th>
<th>No. of samples for which data were available for:</th>
<th>Age (median [range]) (mo)</th>
<th>Treatment for uncomplicated malaria</th>
<th>Chp(^a)</th>
<th>IC50s</th>
<th>IC50s and SNPs</th>
<th>HIV status</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PROMOTE-1</td>
<td>May 2010–November 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td>43 (10–100)</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>TS</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Infected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROMOTE-3</td>
<td>June 2010–June 2013</td>
<td></td>
<td>18 (4–36)</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>Not infected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TS</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SP</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DP</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>459</td>
<td>688</td>
<td>347</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Chp, chemoprevention; TS, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole; SP, sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine; DP, dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine. None, samples from subjects in chemoprevention arms collected before or after the intervention.

### Table 2 Ex vivo drug sensitivity data of isolated parasites\(^a\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug used</th>
<th>No. of samples</th>
<th>Geometric mean IC50 (nM)</th>
<th>95% CI (nM) (^b)</th>
<th>Range (nM)</th>
<th>Cutoff for resistance (nM)</th>
<th>No. (%) resistant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chloroquine</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>247.9</td>
<td>223.1–275.4</td>
<td>31.0–1,398</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>317 (77.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinine</td>
<td>419</td>
<td>126.7</td>
<td>115.3–138.9</td>
<td>30.7–1,339</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>37 (8.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDAQ</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>76.9</td>
<td>70.2–84.1</td>
<td>12.5–564.6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>157 (37.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DHA</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.3–1.5</td>
<td>0.3–16.9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8 (1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumefantrine</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.6–3.3</td>
<td>0.4–24.4</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piperaquine</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>17.1–21.4</td>
<td>3.1–188.9</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>35 (9.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) This table includes results for all samples studied. Results are stratified into different trials and study arms in Table S3 in the supplemental material.

\(^b\) 95% CI, 95% confidence interval.
supplemental material). Formal *in vitro* cutoffs for resistance have not been established for antimalarials, and sensitivities vary depending on the assay utilized. To facilitate analysis, we modified the resistance cutoffs established by others (40, 41), setting the cutoffs for the aminoquinolines at 100 nM and at 600 nm for quinine (40), and choosing conservative cutoffs of 10 nM for DHA and 50 nM for lumefantrine. Sensitivities to chloroquine and MDAQ (the active metabolite of amodiaquine) varied widely.

Based on the chosen cutoffs, 78% of isolates were resistant to chloroquine, and 37% were resistant to MDAQ. Parasites were generally sensitive to quinine, DHA, lumefantrine, and piperaquine. However, 9.2% of tested isolates had a piperaquine IC50 of 100 nM.

**Associations between *ex vivo* drug sensitivity and parasite transporter polymorphisms.** Samples were tested for polymorphisms in *pfcrt*, *pfmdr1*, *prmrp1*, *pfdfhr*, and *pfdfhs* known or suspected to be associated with altered responses to antimalarial drugs. Analyses searching for associations between parasite genotypes and *ex vivo* drug sensitivity are complicated by the high multiplicity of infection that is typical in isolates from Tororo. Thus, measured *ex vivo* sensitivities represent averages of cocirculating clones, and genotyping often identifies mixed infections. Nonetheless, the analysis offers a valuable summary (Fig. 1). The most convincing associations showed a dose-response relationship, with mixed genotypes having intermediate drug sensitivity between wild-type and mutant genotypes. Sensitivities to chloroquine and MDAQ correlated with the *pfcrt* K76T polymorphism, with wild type more sensitive than mutant parasites (chloroquine geometric mean IC50s, 119 versus 295 nM, respectively, *P* = 0.0002; MDAQ mean IC50s, 52.8 versus 81.6 nM, respectively, *P* = 0.031). Parasites with the wild-type *pfcrt* K76 genotype were less sensitive to chloroquine than in many other reports, perhaps due to the effects of mixed isolates; other studies of field isolates have also identified relatively high IC50s in field isolates with the wild-type K76 genotype (42). Sensitivities to lumefantrine and piperaquine showed the opposite trend, with wild-type parasites being less sensitive to chloroquine than in many other reports, perhaps due to the effects of mixed isolates; other studies of field isolates have also identified relatively high IC50s in field isolates with the wild-type K76 genotype (42). Sensitivities to lumefantrine and piperaquine showed the opposite trend, with wild-type parasites being less sensitive to the drugs (lumefantrine geometric mean IC50s, 1.19 versus 2.95 nM, respectively, *P* = 0.0002; piperaquine IC50s, 34.0 versus 17.1 nM, respectively, *P* = 0.022). Considering polymorphisms in *pfmdr1*, the most noteworthy associations were decreased sensitivity to MDAQ and increased sensitivity to lumefantrine with the 86Y and 1246Y mutant genotypes, with the opposite
association occurring with the 184F mutant genotype. These results were all consistent with prior studies showing selection by AL of the N86, 184F, and D1246 genotypes (19–22). For most other comparisons, \textit{pfmdr1} alleles were not associated with drug sensitivity. Considering other polymorphisms identified primarily outside Africa, only wild-type \textit{pfmdr1} S1034 and N1042 alleles were detected. A third putative drug transporter, \textit{pfmrp1}, is highly polymorphic, and the I876 wild-type allele was selected by prior AL therapy in Tanzania (43). However, we found no association between the \textit{pfmrp1} polymorphisms I876V and K1466R and sensitivity to any of the tested antimalarial drugs (data not shown).

Changes in drug sensitivity over time. \textit{Ex vivo} sensitivities to most drugs changed significantly over the 4-year course of the study (Fig. 2; see also Table S5 in the supplemental material). Sensitivities to chloroquine and DHA increased, although for chloroquine, most parasites were resistant (IC$_{50}$ >100 nM) throughout the course of the study (80% in 2010, 85% in 2011, 73% in 2012, and 65% in 2013); for DHA, changes were modest, with nearly all IC$_{50}$s being <10 nM throughout the study. Sensitivities to lumefantrine and piperaquine decreased from 2010 to 2012, as AL was established as the national malaria treatment regimen.

Considering resistance-mediating parasite polymorphisms, we recently showed that \textit{P. falciparum} underwent marked changes in the prevalence of some key single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in Tororo over the last decade (30), and changes were markedly affected by the choice of antimalarial treatment regimen (28). An evaluation of samples from children in the two PROMOTE trials identified similar trends (Fig. 3; see also Table S6 in the supplemental material). Most notably, the prevalence of the \textit{pfmdr1} N86 wild-type genotype, which is selected by AL use (19–22), increased over 2 years of observation, and the prevalence of the \textit{pfcrt} K76T mutation, which is associated with resistance to chloroquine (37) and was fixed at a high prevalence in older studies from Uganda (44,45), decreased markedly in 2012. In all cases with the chloroquine-resistant 76T mutation, the \textit{pfcrt} 72-76 haplotype, which identifies the geographic origins of parasites, was CVIET, consistent with an Asian origin (46). These results are consistent with the expected selective pressures of decreasing use of chloroquine and increasing use of AL in Uganda. Considering polymorphisms associated with altered responses to antifolates, the prevalence of key mutations was stable across the course of the study (see Fig. S2 in the supplemental material). Specifically, the \textit{pfdhfr} 51I, 59R, and 108N, and \textit{pfdhps} 437G and 540E mutations were all common, but other mutations associated with higher levels of drug resistance (\textit{pfdhfr} 164L, and \textit{pfdhps} 581G and 613T/S), which have been uncommon in earlier surveys (39, 45, 47), were also rare in these samples. Factors that likely contribute to the continued high prevalence of resistance-mediating antifolate mutations include use of antifolates to treat malaria (although this is no longer national policy), as intermittent preventive therapy...
against malaria, to treat bacterial infections, and as prophylaxis against opportunistic infections in those with HIV infection.

**Impact of prior therapy with AL on drug sensitivity.** Treatment with AL has been shown to select for polymorphisms associated with decreased lumefantrine sensitivity (19–22), but the impact of prior therapy on *ex vivo* drug sensitivity has not previously been assessed. We compared the sensitivities of parasites collected from children without recent prior therapy with those of parasites from children treated previously with AL for ≤30 days, 31 to 50 days, or 51 to 70 days prior to an episode of malaria. Compared to samples from patients without recent therapy, those from subjects previously treated with AL had decreased lumefantrine sensitivity, with the impact of prior therapy being greatest in those with parasites emerging soonest after a previous therapy (Fig. 4; see also Table S7 in the supplemental material). However, in multivariate analysis, the identified differences in lumefantrine sensitivity were not significant, suggesting that the changes were mostly explained by changing lumefantrine sensitivity over time (see Table S7). Associations were very similar when we considered samples from the PROMOTE-3 trial only (not shown), suggesting that selection was not notably impacted by the use of antiretroviral protease inhibitors, which extend lumefantrine exposure (33), in some PROMOTE-1 subjects. Considering other drugs, prior therapy with AL was also associated with increased sensitivity to chloroquine and decreased sensitivity to quinine and piperaquine; these differences were generally also not significant in multivariate analysis (see Table S7).

**FIG 3** Allele prevalences over time. Prevalences of wild-type (WT), mixed (Mix), and mutant (Mut) alleles during the indicated intervals are shown. The number of samples in each category is indicated by N. Changes in wild-type allele prevalences compared to those in 2010 that were significant in univariate analyses are labeled for *P* values of <0.05 (*), <0.01 (**), and <0.001 (**). With multivariate analysis adjusting for the amount of time since last AL treatment and chemoprevention arms, changes in the prevalences of *pfmdr1* 86 and 184 mutations remained significant.
morphisms. The prevalences of wild-type alleles at pfcrt K76T, pfmdr1 N86Y, and pfmdr1 D1246Y were all greater in samples collected from children with recurrent infections within 30 days of a prior treatment with AL than in samples from children without recent prior therapy (Fig. 5; see also Table S8 in the supplemental material). For pfmdr1 Y184F, the trend was in the opposite direction, with selection of the mutant allele, as reported previously (19). With multivariate analysis, these identified differences in polymorphism prevalences remained significant (see Table S8). As expected, prior treatment with AL did not impact the prevalence of resistance-mediating SNPs in pfmdr1 polymorphisms previously associated with sensitivity to aminoquinolines, and assignment to either antifolate regimen was not associated with polymorphism prevalences. However, when samples from the DP treatment arm were sorted based on DP exposure by measuring circulating piperaquine levels at the time of malaria, parasites from subjects compliant with monthly DP demonstrated selection for the pfmdr1 86Y and 1246Y mutations. These results showed a dose-response relationship, with subjects with the highest piperaquine levels at the time of malaria (and thus presumably most compliant with monthly DP) demonstrating the highest prevalence of mutant genotypes. With multivariate analysis correcting for calendar time and time since prior therapy with AL, selection for pfmdr1 86Y and 1246Y remained significant.

**DISCUSSION**

We characterized the drug sensitivity of *P. falciparum*, utilizing both parasitological and molecular assessments, in samples from two recent trials of children in Tororo, Uganda. Assessments of *ex vivo* drug sensitivity of ACT components showed that sensitivity to MDAQ, the active metabolite of amodiaquine, is not optimal, and that sensitivity to lumefantrine and piperaquine is decreasing. In addition, therapy with AL, the national treatment regimen in Uganda, selected for parasites in subsequent infections with decreased lumefantrine sensitivity. Evaluations of parasite polymor-
phisms showed related trends, with increases over time and after AL treatment in the prevalence of polymorphisms associated with decreased lumefantrine sensitivity. Thus, even with apparent absence of artemisinin resistance in Africa, the antimalarial efficacies of the three leading ACTs, and in particular of AL, may be in jeopardy.

We recently showed that parasites in Tororo have changed remarkably in recent years, with increased prevalence of wild-type \textit{pfcrt} K76, \textit{pfmdr1} N86, and \textit{pfmdr1} D1246 polymorphisms associated with decreased sensitivity to lumefantrine (19, 30), and in this report, we describe similar trends in samples from additional trials. Similar results were described recently in Kenya (42), Tanzania (48), and Ghana (49). As seen previously (42, 50), the wild-type alleles at \textit{pfcrt} K76 and \textit{pfmdr1} N86 were associated with decreased lumefantrine sensitivity. We also found an association between the wild-type \textit{pfmdr1} D1246 and mutant \textit{pfmdr1} 184F genotypes and decreased lumefantrine sensitivity. Surprisingly, results for piperaquine were not consistent with those for the other aminoquinolines, chloroquine and amodiaquine, with the \textit{pfcrt} K76 wild-type sequence associated with decreased piperaquine sensitivity. In a longitudinal analysis of \textit{ex vivo} sensitivity, we identified increasing sensitivity to chloroquine and decreasing sensitivity to lumefantrine and piperaquine; more modest changes were seen for other tested drugs. In the only other study that assessed changes in antimalarial drug sensitivity over time, increasing sensitivity to chloroquine and amodiaquine and decreasing sensitivity to lumefantrine were seen in Kenya from 2008 to 2011, although for lumefantrine, the changes were not significant (42). In Uganda, our data consistently show that with the change to AL as the national malaria treatment regimen, lumefantrine sensitivity is decreasing.

Earlier, elimination of chloroquine use in Malawi was accompanied by the reestablishment of parasites with the wild-type \textit{pfcrt} K76 genotype (51, 52) and excellent treatment efficacy of chloroquine (53). Thus, changes in parasite drug sensitivity have had clinical consequences. Recent changes suggest that we should reconsider the use of aminoquinolines to treat malaria, including AS-AQ, which showed inferior efficacy to AL in Tanzania (54) and Uganda (55, 56) some years ago but excellent recent efficacy in West and Central Africa (57–61); DP, which has shown excellent efficacy (7, 62–66); and perhaps combinations that include chloroquine (52, 67). Further, we should be cautious regarding the long-term antimalarial efficacy of AL, as although both the clinical efficacy of AL (57–61, 64–66) and \textit{ex vivo} activity of DHA and lumefantrine (31, 42, 68–70) have remained good in recent stud-
ies, current results suggest that the antimalarial potency of lumefantrine is decreasing.

Our data show that antimalarial treatment regimens rapidly select for parasites with decreased drug sensitivity. With both amodiaquine-containing regimens (71) and AL (this study), recent treatment was associated with significantly diminished ex vivo drug sensitivity in subsequent infections. Similarly, treatment with AS-AQ or AL led to marked changes in genotypes in a subsequent infection, with opposite selective pressures of amodiaquine and lumefantrine (14, 15, 19–22). Interestingly, results for piperaquine differed from those for the other aminoquinolines: prior therapy with AL selected for increased chloroquine sensitivity but decreased piperaquine sensitivity in subsequent infections. Also of note, a higher recent prevalence of the chloroquine resistance pfcrt 76T mutation in Uganda compared to that in Malawi (51, 52), Tanzania (48), or Kenya (42) suggests that there is stronger selective pressure from continued chloroquine use in Uganda than in the other African countries. Nonetheless, with the establishment of AL as the treatment of choice for malaria, the prevalence of chloroquine-resistant parasites has decreased, and selection of parasites with decreased responsiveness to AL is now occurring.

We also explored the impact of antimalarial chemoprevention on parasite drug sensitivity. Regular use of daily TS, monthly SP, or monthly DP did not select for alterations in drug sensitivity. However, our study did not include directly observed therapy, and many episodes of malaria occurred in subjects not adhering to their assigned chemoprevention regimen. This conclusion is supported by a lack of detectable circulating piperaquine in 52% of subjects from the DP chemoprevention arm at the time of malaria diagnosis (11) and by the much better chemopreventive efficacy of directly observed DP in a different trial in the same region (12). To further explore this issue, we characterized parasites isolated from children deemed compliant or noncompliant with monthly DP based on circulating piperaquine levels at the time of malaria diagnosis. In this subgroup analysis, compliance with monthly DP was associated with increased prevalence of pfmdr1 86Y and 1246Y mutations, which were previously shown to be selected by therapy with amodiaquine-containing regimens (14, 15).

Associations between specific parasite pfcr and pfmdr1 polymorphisms and sensitivity to the aminoquinolines chloroquine and MDAQ, as well as opposite associations with lumefantrine, have been consistent in many studies, and treatment with amodiaquine and lumefantrine-containing regimens has demonstrated expected selective pressure (14, 15, 19–21). In contrast, results for piperaquine have been perplexing. As noted above, in a subset of...
children in whom compliance with monthly DP was documented, parasites were more likely to have the same polymorphisms selected by prior therapy with amodiaquine, and similar selection by DP was seen in a recent treatment study from Uganda (19). However, this selection was not seen in treatment (17) and chemoprevention (18) trials in Burkina Faso. Further, ex vivo piperazine sensitivity was not associated with polymorphisms in pfmdr1 and, for pfcr position 76, associations were opposite of those seen for chloroquine and MDAQ, with mutant parasites being more sensitive to piperazine. The reasons for the differences between piperazine and other aminoquinolines and between studies in East and West Africa are unknown, but they suggest the importance of factors in addition to the studied pfcr and pfmdr1 polymorphisms affecting piperazine sensitivity. Concerns about piperazine sensitivity are urgent, as the drug has a long history of resistance (16), and recent trials have demonstrated decreased ex vivo piperazine sensitivity and decreased treatment efficacy of DP in Cambodia (72, 73).

With changes in drug sensitivity, new strategies for the treatment and chemoprevention of malaria may be warranted. One option is to rotate drugs for treatment and/or chemoprevention. As discussed above, regimens containing chloroquine, amodiaquine, and possibly piperazine select for parasites with increased sensitivity to lumefantrine and mefloquine, while AL and AS-MQ select for parasites with increased sensitivity to aminoquinolines. Rotating regimens might allow an optimal balance, with parasites retaining sensitivity to all leading ACTs. Considering the artemisinin component of ACTs, the resistance phenotype recently described in Southeast Asia (23, 24) appears to be mediated by an unrelated mechanism (26), but pfcr and/or pfmdr1 polymorphisms also impact artemisinin sensitivity, with sensitivities correlated between artemisinin, lumefantrine, and mefloquine, and inversely correlated with aminoquinolines (31, 50, 74). Thus, both components of AL and AS-MQ select toward decreased drug sensitivity, but the components of AS-AQ and possibly DP select in opposite directions, potentially facilitating maintenance of effectiveness of these ACTs.

Our study has some limitations. First, due to past challenges with low-level parasitemia samples, we limited our assessments to cultures from patients with parasitemias of >1%, and ex vivo assessments were not successful for all isolates. Thus, our results may not be representative of all circulating P. falciparum in Tororo. Second, due to logistical challenges, some samples were not assessed for parasite polymorphisms, again challenging the representativeness of results. Third, we analyzed a limited number of P. falciparum genetic polymorphisms. The studied polymorphisms in pfcr and pfmdr1 appear to play primary roles in mediating altered sensitivity to a number of relevant drugs, but nonetheless, our assessments likely missed additional mediators of altered drug sensitivity. Fourth, assessments of both ex vivo drug sensitivity and the prevalence of genetic polymorphisms are necessarily complex in an area of very high transmission intensity, such as Tororo, where infections are typically polyclonal. Thus, measures of ex vivo drug sensitivity are usually averages of multiple circulating strains, and measures of polymorphism prevalence are complicated by arbitrary cutoffs for characterization of mixed infections. Despite these limitations, our large sample size affords a good understanding of drug sensitivity in Tororo, with definitive changes occurring in the context of increasing use of AL to treat malaria.

In summary, we surveyed the drug sensitivity of P. falciparum in Tororo using parasitological and molecular methods and showed that parasites have changed remarkably in recent years. Specifically, parasites show ex vivo and molecular evidence of decreasing sensitivity to lumefantrine, a component of the first-line national malaria regimen AL. The changes to date have not led to high-level resistance to lumefantrine, but our data and other recent studies suggest that the antimalarial efficacy of AL may be at risk and that consideration of treatment with other ACTs or of rotating different regimens over time is warranted.
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